

# Fuzzy, Non Commutative SpaceTime: A New Paradigm for A New Century

B.G. Sidharth

B.M. Birla Science Centre, Adarshnagar, Hyderabad - 500 063, India

## Abstract

Much of twentieth century physics, whether it be Classical or Quantum, has been based on the concept of spacetime as a differentiable manifold. While this work has culminated in the standard model, it is now generally accepted that in the light of recent experimental results, we have to go beyond the standard model. On the other hand Quantum SuperString Theory and a recent model of Quantized Spacetime in which, for example, an electron can be meaningfully described by the Kerr-Newman metric, have shown promise. They lead to mathematically identical spacetime-energy-momenta commutation relations, and infact an identical non commutative geometry which is a departure from the usual concept of spacetime. This could well be a new paradigm for the new century.

## 1 Introduction

At the beginning of the twentieth century several Physicists including Poincare and Abraham amongst others were tinkering unsuccessfully with the problem of the extended electron[1, 2]. The problem was that an extended electron appeared to contradict Special Relativity, while on the other hand, the limit of a point particle lead to inexplicable infinities. These infinities dogged physics for many decades. Infact the Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle straightaway leads to infinities in the limit of spacetime points. It was only through the artifice of renormalization that 't Hooft could finally circumvent this vexing problem, in the 1970s (Cf. paper by 't Hooft in this volume).

Nevertheless it has been realized that the concept of spacetime points is only approximate[3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. We are beginning to realize that it may be more meaningful to speak in terms of spacetime foam, strings, branes, non commutative geometry, fuzzy spacetime and so on[8]. This is what we will now discuss.

## 2 Two Approaches

We now consider the well known theory of Quantum SuperStrings and also an approach in which an electron is considered to be a Kerr-Newman Black Hole, with the additional input of fuzzy spacetime.

As is well known, String Theory originated from phenomenological considerations in the late sixties through the pioneering work of Veneziano, Nambu and

others to explain features like the s-t channel dual resonance scattering and Regge trajectories[9]. Originally strings were conceived as one dimensional objects with an extension of the order of the Compton wavelength, which would fudge the point vertices of the s-t channel scattering graphs, so that both would effectively correspond to one another (Cf.ref.[9]).

The string itself is governed by the equation[10]

$$\rho \ddot{y} - T y'' = 0 \quad (1)$$

where the frequency  $\omega$  is given by

$$\omega = \frac{\pi}{2} \sqrt{\frac{T}{\rho}} \quad (2)$$

$$T = \frac{mc^2}{l}; \rho = \frac{m}{l} \quad (3)$$

$$\sqrt{T/\rho} = c \quad (4)$$

$T$  being the tension of the string, which has to be introduced in the theory,  $l$  its length and  $\rho$  the line density. The identification (3) gives (4) where  $c$  is the velocity of light, and (1) then goes over to the usual d'Alembertian or massless Klein-Gordon equation. It is worth noting that as  $l \rightarrow 0$  the potential energy which is  $\sim \int_0^l T \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} \right)^2 dx$  rapidly oscillates.

Quantization of the states leads to

$$\langle \Delta x^2 \rangle \sim l^2 \quad (5)$$

The string effectively shows up as an infinite collection of Harmonic Oscillators [10]. It follows from the above that the length  $l$  of the string turns out to be the Compton wavelength, a circumstance which has been described as one of the miracles of String Theory by Veneziano[11].

The above strings are really Bosonic strings. Raimond[12], Scherk[13] and others laid the foundation for the theory of Fermionic strings. Essentially the relativistic Quantized String is given a rotation, when we get back the equation for Regge trajectories,

$$J \leq (2\pi T)^{-1} M^2 + a_0 \hbar \quad \text{with} \quad a_0 = +1(+2) \text{ for the open (closed) string} \quad (6)$$

Attention must be drawn to the additional term  $a_0$  which now appears in (6). It arises from a zero point energy effect. When  $a_0 = 1$  we have gauge Bosons while  $a_0 = 2$  describes the gravitons. In the full theory of Quantum Super Strings, we are essentially dealing with extended objects rotating with the velocity of light, rather like spinning black holes. The spatial extension is at the Planck scale while features like extra space time dimensions which are curled up in the Kaluza Klein sense and, as we will see, non commutative geometry appear[14, 15].

The above considerations raise the question, can a charged elementary particle be pictured as a Kerr Newman Black Hole, though in a Quantum Mechanical context rather than the General Relativistic case? Indeed it is well known that the Kerr Newman Black Hole itself mimics the electron remarkably well including the purely

Quantum Mechanical anomalous  $g = 2$  factor[16]. The problem is that there would be a naked singularity, that is the radius would become complex,

$$r_+ = \frac{GM}{c^2} + \imath b, b \equiv \left( \frac{G^2 Q^2}{c^8} + a^2 - \frac{G^2 M^2}{c^4} \right)^{1/2} \quad (7)$$

where  $a$  is the angular momentum per unit mass.

This problem has been studied in detail by the author in recent years[1, 17, 18]. Indeed it is quite remarkable that the position coordinate of an electron in the Dirac theory is non Hermitian and mimics equation (7), being given by

$$x = (c^2 p_1 H^{-1} t + a_1) + \frac{\imath}{2} c \hbar (\alpha_1 - c p_1 H^{-1}) H^{-1}, \quad (8)$$

where the imaginary parts of (7) and (8) are both of the order of the Compton wavelength.

The key to understanding the unacceptable imaginary part was given by Dirac himself[19], in terms of Zitterbewegung. The point is that according to the Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle, space time points themselves are not meaningful- only space time intervals have meaning, and we are really speaking of averages over such intervals, which are atleast of the order of the Compton scale. Once this is kept in mind, the imaginary term disappears on averaging over the Compton scale.

Indeed, from a classical point of view also, in the extreme relativistic case, as is well known there is an extension of the order of the Compton wavelength, within which we encounter meaningless negative energies[20]. With this proviso, it has been shown that we could think of an electron as a spinning Kerr Newman Black Hole. This has received independent support from the work of Nottale[21].

### 3 Non Commutative Geometry

We are thus lead to the picture where there is a cut off in space time intervals as indicated in the introduction.

In the above two scenarios, the cut off is at the Compton scale  $(l, \tau)$ . Such discrete space time models compatible with Special Relativity have been studied for a long time by Snyder and several other scholars[22, 23, 24]. In this case it is well known that we have the following non commutative geometry

$$\begin{aligned} [x, y] &= (\imath a^2 / \hbar) L_z, [t, x] = (\imath a^2 / \hbar c) M_x, \\ [y, z] &= (\imath a^2 / \hbar) L_x, [t, y] = (\imath a^2 / \hbar c) M_y, \\ [z, x] &= (\imath a^2 / \hbar) L_y, [t, z] = (\imath a^2 / \hbar c) M_z, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where  $a$  is the minimum natural unit and  $L_x, M_x$  etc. have their usual significance. Moreover in this case there is also a correction to the usual Quantum Mechanical commutation relations, which are now given by

$$\begin{aligned} [x, p_x] &= \imath \hbar [1 + (a/\hbar)^2 p_x^2]; \\ [t, p_t] &= \imath \hbar [1 - (a/\hbar c)^2 p_t^2]; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}[x, p_y] &= [y, p_x] = i\hbar(a/\hbar)^2 p_x p_y; \\ [x, p_t] &= c^2 [p_x, t] = i\hbar(a/\hbar)^2 p_x p_t; \text{ etc.}\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

where  $p^\mu$  denotes the four momentum.

In the Kerr Newman model for the electron alluded to above (or generally for a spinning sphere of spin  $\sim \hbar$  and of radius  $l$ ),  $L_x$  etc. reduce to the spin  $\frac{\hbar}{2}$  of a Fermion and the commutation relations (9) and (10) reduce to

$$[x, y] \approx 0(l^2), [x, p_x] = i\hbar[1 + \beta l^2], [t, E] = i\hbar[1 + \tau^2]\tag{11}$$

where  $\beta = (p_x/\hbar)^2$  and similar equations.

Interestingly the non commutative geometry given in (11) can be shown to lead to the representation of Dirac matrices and the Dirac equation[25]. From here we can get the Klein Gordon equation, as is well known[26, 27], or alternatively we deduce the massless string equation (1), using (4).

This is also the case with superstrings where Dirac spinors are introduced, as indicated in Section 2. Infact in QSS also we have equations mathematically identical to the relations (11) containing momenta. This, which implies (9), can now be seen to be the origin of non-commutativity.

The non commutative geometry and fuzzyness is contained in (11). Infact fuzzy spaces have been investigated in detail by Madore and others[28, 29], and we are lead back to the equation (11). The fuzzyness which is closely tied up with the non commutative feature is symptomatic of the breakdown of the concept of the spacetime points and point particles at small scales or high energies. As has been noted by Snyder, Witten, and several other scholars, the divergences encountered in Quantum Field Theory are symptomatic of precisely such an extrapolation to spacetime points and which necessitates devices like renormalization. As Witten points out[30], "in developing relativity, Einstein assumed that the space time co-ordinates were Bosonic; Fermions had not yet been discovered!... The structure of space time is enriched by Fermionic as well as Bosonic coordinates."

Interestingly, starting from equation (11), we can deduce that  $l$  is the Compton wavelength without however assuming it to be so. Let us write the first equation of (11) as

$$[x, y] = iH\tag{12}$$

The relation (12) shows that  $y$  plays a role similar to the  $x$  component of the momentum, and infact mathematically we have

$$y = \frac{iH}{\hbar} p_x \equiv \tilde{h} p_x\tag{13}$$

At the extreme energies and speeds, we would have

$$y = \tilde{h} p = \tilde{h} mc, m\dot{y} = p_y, x = \tilde{h} p_y\tag{14}$$

From (13) it follows that

$$y = H \frac{d}{dx}$$

whence

$$Ty'' = \frac{T}{H} yy' = \frac{T}{H^2} y \cdot y^2\tag{15}$$

Further from (14) it follows that

$$\rho \ddot{y} = \frac{\rho}{m} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{x}{\tilde{h}} \right) = \frac{\rho}{m^2 \tilde{h}} \cdot \frac{y}{\tilde{h}} = \frac{\rho}{m^2 \tilde{h}^2} y \quad (16)$$

Fusing (15) and (16) in to one we get

$$\frac{H^2}{\tilde{h}^2} \frac{1}{m^2 c^2} \equiv l^2 = \left( \frac{h}{mc} \right)^2 = y^2$$

where  $l$  is now the Compton wavelength. This is the explanation for the so called miraculous emergence of the Compton wavelength in string theory, as noted by Veneziano (Cf.ref.[11]).

Finally it may be pointed out that the tension  $T$  of String Theory, appears as the energy of the Quantum Mechanical Kerr Newman Black Hole alluded to in Section 2 via the relation (3).

We next have to see how, from the Compton scale above, we arrive at the Planck scale of QSS. For this, we note that from (11), using

$$\Delta p \cdot \Delta x \approx h,$$

we get,

$$\Delta p \cdot \Delta x = \hbar \left[ 1 + \frac{l^2}{(\Delta x)^2} \right]$$

Whence

$$\Delta p (\Delta x)^3 = \hbar [(\Delta x)^2 + l^2] \quad (17)$$

Witten describes it as an extra correction to the Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle. As long as we are at usual energies, we have the usual Uncertainty Principle, and the usual bosonic or commutative spacetime. At high energies however we encounter the extra term in (17) viz.,  $\hbar' = \hbar l^2$ . With this, the Compton scale goes over from  $l$  to  $l^3$ , the Planck scale (Cf. also [31]). Equally interesting is the fact that as can be seen from (17), the single  $x$  dimension gets trebled. At these Planck scales, therefore, a total of six extra dimensions appear, which are curled up in the Kaluza Klein sense at the Planck scale. This provides an explanation for the puzzling six extra dimensions of QSS.

## 4 Further Issues

### (i) Vortices

As described in detail in [17] the Quantum Mechanical Kerr-Newman Black Hole could also be considered to be a vortex. If we take two parallel spinning vortices separated by a distance  $d$  then the angular velocity is given by

$$\omega = \frac{\nu}{\pi d^2},$$

where  $\nu = h/m$ .

Whence the spin of the system turns out to be  $h$ , that is in usual units the spin is

one, and the above gives the states  $\pm 1$ .

There is also the case where the two above vortices are anti parallel. In this case there is no spin, but rather there is the linear velocity given by

$$v = \nu/2\pi d$$

This corresponds to the state 0 in the spin 1 case.

Together, the two above cases give the three  $-1, 0, +1$  states of spin 1 as in the Quantum Mechanical Theory.

In the case of the Quantum Mechanical Kerr-Newman Black Hole hydrodynamical vortex pictured above, it is interesting that for the bound state, there is really no interaction in the particle physics sense. The interaction comes in because in the above description we really identify a background Zero Point Field with the hydrodynamical flow (Cf.ref.[18] and also[32]). Interestingly in a simulation involving vortices, such an "attraction" was noticed[33].

## (ii) Monopoles

It is interesting that the above considerations lead to a characterization of the elusive monopole. Infact a non commutative geometry can be associated with a powerful magnetic field[34], and specialising to the equations (11) we can show that this field  $B$  satisfies,

$$Bl^2 \sim \frac{n\hbar c}{2e}$$

which is the celebrated equation of the monopole.

## (iii) Duality

A related concept, which one encounters also in String Theory is Duality. Infact the relation (11) leads to (Cf. also equation (17),

$$\Delta x \sim \frac{\hbar}{\Delta p} + \alpha' \frac{\Delta p}{\hbar} \quad (18)$$

where  $\alpha' = l^2$ , which in Quantum SuperStrings Theory  $\sim 10^{-66}$ . Witten has wondered about the basis of (18), but as we have seen, it is a consequence of (11).

In String Theory this is an expression of the duality relation,

$$R \rightarrow \alpha'/R$$

This is symptomatic of the fact that we cannot go down to arbitrarily small space-time intervals, below the Planck scale in this case (Cf.ref.[14]).

In the Quantum Mechanical Kerr-Newman Black Hole model of the electron, on the contrary, we are at Compton scale, and the effect of (18) is precisely that seen in point 1 above: We go from the electric charge  $e$  to the monopole, as in the Olive-Montonen duality[35], (Cf.also ref.[14]).

## (iv) Spin

One could argue that the non commutative relations (11) are an expression of Quantum Mechanical spin. To put it briefly, for a spinning particle the non commutativity arises when we go from canonical to covariant position variables. Zakrzewsk[36] has shown that we have the Poisson bracket relation

$$\{x^j, x^k\} = \frac{1}{m^2} R^{jk}, (c = 1),$$

where  $R^{jk}$  is the spin. The passage to Quantum Theory then leads us back to the relation (11).

Conversely it was shown that the relations (11) imply Quantum Mechanical spin[5]. Another way of seeing this is to observe as noted in (13) that (11) implies that  $y = \alpha \hat{p}_y$ , where  $\alpha$  is a dimensional constant viz  $[T/M]$  and  $\hat{p}_y$  is the analogue of the momentum, but with the Planck constant replaced by  $l^2$ . So the spin is given by

$$|\vec{r} \times \vec{p}| \approx 2xp_y \sim 2\alpha^{-1}l^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\hbar}{m^2 c^2} \right)^{-1} \times \frac{\hbar^2}{m^2 c^2} = \frac{\hbar}{2}$$

as required.

## (v) Extremal Black Holes

Going back to the relation (7), we can see that if

$$a = \frac{\hbar}{Mc} \sim \frac{GM}{c^2}$$

then we are at the Planck scale and have a Planck mass Schwarzschild Black Hole. The purely Quantum Mechanical Compton length equals the classical Schwarzschild radius.

Also if,

$$Q \sim Mc^2, \tag{19}$$

while at the same time the particlee has no spin, so that  $a = 0$ , we recover a Schwarzschild Black Hole. We observe that if the mass  $M \sim$  electron mass then the charge  $Q$  from (19) turns out to be  $\sim 1000e$ , as in the case of the monopole.

Interestingly these parameters also fit a neutrino, whose mass, as recent experiments indicate is given by

$$m \leq 10^{-8} m_e \tag{20}$$

It was further argued[37] that a neutrino could in principle have an electric charge, a millionth that of the electron, while, as the neutrino has no Compton wavelength we can apply in principle equation (19). (20) coupled with this and with the above electric charge shows that indeed equation (19) is satisfied.

Such particles however have a very high Bekenstein temperature

$$\sim 10^{-7} \left( \frac{M_0}{M} \right) K,$$

$M_0$  being the solar mass and would disintegrate into gamma rays within about  $10^{-23} M^3 \text{secs}$ . So these extremal Black Holes would not be detectable, but this

could nevertheless provide a rationale for the puzzling cosmic gamma ray emissions.

## (vi) Spacetime

We have seen that the spacetime given by (11) is radically different from its usual description. Infact the usual spacetime is a sort of a stationary spacetime, a low energy approximation, as will be clear by the following argument. We start with the Nelsonian theory in which there is a complex velocity potential  $V - iU$ , due to a double Weiner process. This has been shown to lead to the usual Quantum Mechanical description[38]. Indeed the diffusion equation,

$$\Delta x \cdot \Delta x = \frac{\hbar}{m} \Delta t \equiv \gamma \Delta t$$

can also be written as

$$m \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta t} \cdot \Delta x = \hbar = \Delta p \cdot \Delta x$$

which is the usual Heisenberg description.

Using the *WKB* approximation, the Nelsonian wave function

$$\psi = \sqrt{\rho} e^{(i/\hbar)s}$$

becomes

$$(p_x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int p(x) dx}$$

whence

$$\rho = \frac{1}{p_x} \tag{21}$$

In this case the condition  $U \approx 0$  gives

$$v \cdot \nabla \ln(\sqrt{\rho}) \approx 0$$

that is the probability density  $\rho$  and hence from (21) the momentum varies very slowly with  $x$ .

The continuity equation now gives

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \vec{\nabla}(\rho \vec{v}) = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = 0$$

which shows that  $\rho$  is independent of  $t$  also[18]. This is a scenario of, strictly speaking, a single particle universe, without environmental effects, a scenario which is an approximation valid for small incremental changes. (The more physical scenario takes all the particles in the universe into account, leading to what may be called stochastic holism[32]). In this case, we can take limits to vanishing spacetime intervals, as in the usual theory (Cf. 't Hooft loc. cit). Spacetime in this description is a differentiable manifold and instead of the relations (11), spacetime is commutative. Effectively we are neglecting  $l^2$ . This has been the backbone of twentieth century physics.

On the other hand according to Witten[39], "String Theory is a part of twenty-first century physics that fell by chance into the twentieth century." It does appear that non commutative fuzzy spacetime is a paradigm for the twenty-first century.



## References

- [1] B.G. Sidharth, in Instantaneous Action at a Distance in Modern Physics: "Pro and Contra", Eds., A.E. Chubykalo et. al., Nova Science Publishing, New York, 1999.
- [2] F. Rohrlich, "Classical Charged Particles", Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1965.
- [3] A.M. Polyakov, "Gravitation and Quantizations", Eds. B. Julia and J. Zinn-Justin, Les Houches, Session LVII, 1992, Elsevier Science, 1995, p.786-804.
- [4] T.D. Lee, Physics Letters, Vol.122B, No.3,4, 10March 1983, p.217-220.
- [5] B.G. Sidharth, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 12(2001), 173-178.
- [6] C. Wolf, Il Nuovo Cimento, Vol.100B, No.3, September 1987, p.431-434.
- [7] J.A. Wheeler, "Superspace and the Nature of Quantum Geometrodynamics", Battelles Rencontres, Lectures, Eds., B.S. De Witt and J.A. Wheeler, Benjamin, New York, 1968.
- [8] A. Kempf, in "From the Planck Length to the Hubble Radius", Ed. A. Zichichi, World Scientific, Singapore, 2000, p.613-622.
- [9] G. Veneziano, Physics Reports, 9, No.4, 1974, p.199-242.
- [10] G. Fogleman, Am.J.Phys., 55(4), 1987, pp.330-336.
- [11] G. Veneziano, "Quantum Geometric Origin of All Forces in String Theory" in "The Geometric Universe", Eds. S.A. Huggett et al., Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1998, pp.235-243.
- [12] P. Ramond, Phys.Rev.D., 3(10), 1971, pp.2415-2418.
- [13] J. Scherk, Rev.Mod.Phys., 47 (1), 1975, pp.1-3ff.
- [14] W. Witten, Physics Today, April 1996, pp.24-30.
- [15] Y. Ne'eman, in Proceedings of the First Internatioinal Symposium, "Frontiers of Fundmental Physics", Eds. B.G. Sidharth and A. Burinskii, Universities Press, Hyderabad, 1999, pp.83ff.
- [16] C.W. Misner, K.S. Thorne and J.A. Wheeler, "Gravitation", W.H. Freeman, San Francisco, 1973, pp.819ff.
- [17] B.G. Sidharth, Ind.J. Pure & Appld.Phys., Vol.35, 1997, pp.456-471.
- [18] B.G. Sidharth, Int.J.Mod.Phys.A, 13 (15), 1998, p.2599ff.
- [19] P.A.M. Dirac, "The Principles of Quantum Mechanics", Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1958, pp.4ff, pp.253ff.
- [20] C. Moller, "The Theory of Relativity", Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1952, pp.170 ff.

- [21] L. Nottale, "Scale relativity and gauge invariance", to appear in Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, special issue in honor of M. Conrad.
- [22] H.S. Snyder, Physical Review, Vol.72, No.1, July 1 1947, p.68-71.
- [23] V.G. Kadyshevskii, Translated from Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR, Vol.147, No.6, December 1962, p.1336-1339.
- [24] L. Bombelli, J. Lee, D. Meyer and R.D. Sorkin, Physical Review Letters, Vol.59, No.5, August 1987, p.521-524.
- [25] B.G. Sidharth, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 11 (2000), 1269-1278.
- [26] V. Heine, "Group Theory in Quantum Mechanics", Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1960, p.364.
- [27] J.R.Klauder, "Bosons Without Bosons" in Quantum Theory and The Structures of Time and Space, Vol.3 Eds by L. Castell, C.F. Van Weizsecker, Carl Hanser Verlag, Munchen 1979.
- [28] J. Madore, Class.Quantum Grav. 9, 1992, p.69-87.
- [29] B.L. Cerchiai, J. Madore, S.Schraml, J. Wess, Eur.Phys.J. C 16, 2000, p.169-180.
- [30] J. Schwarz, M.B. Green and E. Witten, "SuperString Theory", Vol.I, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.
- [31] B.G. Sidharth, "Quantum Superstrings and Quantized Fractal Space Time", to appear in Chaos, Solitons and Fractals.
- [32] B.G. Sidharth, "The Chaotic Universe", Nova Science Publishers, New York, In press.
- [33] J.A. Wheeler, "Geometrodynamics and the Issue of the Final State", Lectures at l'Ecole d'ete de Physique Theorique, Les Houches, Haute-Savole, France, July 1963.
- [34] T. Saito, Gravitation and Cosmology, 6 (2000), No.22, pp.130-136.
- [35] D.I. Olive, Nuclear Physics B (Proc. Suppl.) 46, 1996, 1-15.
- [36] S. Zakrzewski, "Quantization, Coherent States, and Complex Structures", Ed. J.P. Antoine et al., Plenum Press, New York, 1995, p.249ff.
- [37] B.G. Sidharth, in Proceedings of the International Symposium on "Frontiers of Fundamental Physics", Vol.2, Universities Press, Hyderabad, 2000, p.138ff. Also xxx.lanl.gov quant-ph/9803048.
- [38] L. Nottale, "Fractal Space-Time and Microphysics: Towards a Theory of Scale Relativity", World Scientific, Singapore, 1993, p.312.
- [39] B. Greene, "The Elegant Universe", Jonathan Cape, London, 1999, pg.19.